



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/637,698                | 08/15/2000      | Ki Jun Kim           | HI-006                  | 1780             |
| 34610                     | 7590 06/17/2005 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| FLESHNER & KIM, LLP       |                 |                      | DADA, BEEMNET W         |                  |
| P.O. BOX 221<br>CHANTILLY |                 |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER   |                  |
|                           |                 |                      | 2135                    |                  |
|                           |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| * 2   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  | İ   |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 09/637,698  | KIM ET AL.  |   |  |  |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |   |  |  |
|   | Beemnet W. Dada   | 2135  |   |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   |   |   | ress  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>26 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP  |   |   |   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>The REPLT FILED 20 May 2009 FAILS TO FLACE TRIS APPLICATION IN CONTRICT ON ALLOWANCE.</li> <li>1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) A reperiod for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).</li> <li>Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> <li>NOTICE OF APPEAL</li> <li>2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismi</li></ul> |   |   |   |  |  |
| Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS   |   |   |   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3.</li></ul>   | ensideration and/or search (see NO ow);  Itter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally recorresponding number of finally recorresponding number of finally recorresponding number of finally recorded and 41.33(a)).  In the seattached Notice of Non-Coop):  In the submitted in a separate with separate and will not be entered, or b) which will not be entered, or b) which will not be entered. It is a separate with the separate and sufficient reasons why the affidation of the status of the claims after out does NOT place the application will not be entered and sufficient reasons why the affidation of the status of the claims after out does NOT place the application and the series of the claims after out does NOT place the application. | educing or simplifying ejected claims.  ompliant Amendment in timely filed amendment will be entered and an evit or other evidence are date of filing a brief sal and/or appellant fasce 37 CFR 41.33(d) entry is below or attaction condition for allowards. | t (PTOL-324).  nent canceling explanation of  mot be entered is necessary f, will not be eals to provide a (1). ched. |  |  |
|   |   |   |   |  |  |
|   |   |   |   |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: amended claim 4 and newly added claims 20-24 raise new issues that would require further consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Dahlman et al fails to teach generating the secondary scrambling code by shifting the primary scrambling code. Examiner disagrees. Examiner would point out that Dahlman et al teaches the claimed limitations as discussed in the previous office action. Examiner would also point out that Dahlman et al. discloses modifying sequence of binary codes with shift registers to generate scrambling codes that meet the recitation and modifying the code with another code to generate a secondary code. [see for example column 4, line 57- column 5 line 26 and claims 1, and 6].

KIM VÜ

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100